



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/447,351		11/22/1999	RONALD M. HUBERMAN	97573-U.S.	3718
23553	7590	02/11/2004		EXAMINER	
MARKS (& CLERK		NGUYEN, VAN KIM T		
P.O. BOX STATION			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
OTTAWA, ON K1P 5S7				2661	11
CANADA				DATE MAILED: 02/11/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Advisory Action	09/447,351	HUBERMAN ET AL.		
navicely neutrin	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Van Kim T. Nguyen	2661		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
THE REPLY FILED 23 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appelexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applic 1) a timely filed amendment which	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in		
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]			
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE	the final rejection. EFINAL REJECTION. See MPEP		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mote earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in t	fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:			
(a) $oxed{oxed}$ they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);		
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by	pelow);			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simplifying the		
(d) \square they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of t	finally rejected claims.		
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	r reconsideration has been cons	idered but does NOT place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or bj ould be rejected is provided belo)☐ will be entered and an ow or appended.		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:				
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: 1-31.				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
8. \square The drawing correction filed on is a) \square app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	.		
10. Other:	as William	,		
DOUGLAS OLMS				
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600				

Continuation of 2. NOTE:

Regarding claim 1, the newly added limitations "voice and telephony" on line 2, and "and independently" on line 5, raise new issues which would require further consideration and/or search.

Regarding claim 10, the newly added limitation "indicating that a mass calling event respecting said dialed telephone number has been detected"on line 6, raises new issue which would require further consideration and/or search.

Regarding claim 15, the newly added limitation "whereby a determination that said bucket has no current tokens indicates that said dialed number has experienced a mass calling event" on lines 6-7, raises new issue which would require further consideration and/or search.

Regarding claim 23, the newly added limitations ""centralized" and "independently" on line 5, raise new issues which would require further consideration and/or search.

Dougla W. Chy,
DOUGLAS OLMS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600